

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. 2)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

The Quigley Corporation
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

***Ted Karkus
Mark Burnett
John DeShazo
Mark Frank
Louis Gleckel, MD
Mark Leventhal
James McCubbin***

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
 - Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - (5) Total fee paid:
 - Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
 - Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:
-

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF

THE QUIGLEY CORPORATION

*Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials for
PROXY STATEMENT OF
TED KARKUS, MARK BURNETT, JOHN DESHAZO, MARK FRANK,
LOUIS GLECKEL, MD, MARK LEVENTHAL AND JAMES MCCUBBIN
(collectively, the "Shareholder Nominees")
(Opposing the Board of Directors of The Quigley Corporation)*

April __, 2009

To the shareholders of The Quigley Corporation:

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting To Be Held on May 20, 2009: Our proxy materials are available on the following website: www.shareholdermaterial.com/qgly. No control numbers are required to access the proxy materials. This website contains our proxy statement, proxy card and any other soliciting materials that we subsequently file.

Our proxy statement and the accompanying **BLUE** proxy card are being furnished to shareholders of The Quigley Corporation (the "Company"), a Nevada corporation, by the Shareholder Nominees (as defined below), with respect to the solicitation of proxies in connection with the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company (the "Annual Meeting"), which the Company has called to be held at Doylestown Country Club, Green Street, P.O. Box 417, Doylestown, PA 18901, on May 20, 2009, at 4:00 p.m., local time.

Note that if you wish to attend the meeting and vote in person, the Company has limited admission to one (1) seat per stockholder of record. If your shares are held by a bank or broker, you must bring your bank or broker's statement evidencing your beneficial ownership of the Company's stock to the meeting. **Only Company shareholders of record at the close of business on March 27, 2009 (the "Record Date") are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.**

Pursuant to our proxy statement, we are soliciting proxies to be used at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company, and any adjournments or postponements thereof, from holders of shares of the Company common stock,

- to vote **FOR** the election to the Company's board of directors of the Shareholder Nominees,
 - to vote **FOR** the ratification of Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C. as the Company's independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2009, and
-

· to transact such other business as may properly come before the Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof.

We oppose the election of the slate of directors named in the proxy statement being distributed in the name of the Board of Directors of the Company.

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF

THE QUIGLEY CORPORATION

*PROXY STATEMENT OF
TED KARKUS, MARK BURNETT, JOHN DESHAZO, MARK FRANK,
LOUIS GLECKEL, MD, MARK LEVENTHAL AND JAMES MCCUBBIN
(Opposing the Board of Directors of The Quigley Corporation)*

April __, 2009

PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND MAIL THE ENCLOSED BLUE PROXY CARD TODAY.

To the shareholders of The Quigley Corporation:

This proxy statement and the accompanying ***BLUE*** proxy card are being furnished to shareholders of The Quigley Corporation (the "Company"), a Nevada corporation, by the Shareholder Nominees (as defined below), with respect to the solicitation of proxies in connection with the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company (the "Annual Meeting"), which the Company has called to be held at Doylestown Country Club, Green Street, P.O. Box 417, Doylestown, PA 18901, on May 20, 2009, at 4:00 p.m., local time. Pursuant to this proxy statement, we are soliciting proxies to be used at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company, and any adjournments or postponements thereof, from holders of shares of the Company common stock, to vote ***FOR*** the election to the Company's board of directors of the Shareholder Nominees and to vote ***FOR*** the ratification of Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C. as the Company's independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2009.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting To Be Held on May 20, 2009: Our proxy materials are available on the following website: www.shareholdermaterial.com/qgly. No control numbers are required to access the proxy materials.

*At the Annual Meeting Ted Karkus, or another Shareholder Nominee, will propose that he, Mark Burnett, John DeShazo, Mark Frank, Louis Gleckel, MD, Mark Leventhal and James McCubbin (collectively, the "Shareholder Nominees", "we" or "us") be elected as the Company's board of directors. We oppose the election of the slate of directors named in the proxy statement being distributed in the name of the Board of Directors of the Company. Since only your latest dated proxy card will count, we urge you not to return any white proxy card you receive from the Company. Even if you have already returned a white proxy card, you may revoke that proxy and vote by using the ***BLUE*** proxy card, as explained below in the sections headed "Voting Procedures" and "Proxy Revocation Rights."*

This Proxy Statement is soliciting proxies to elect only the Shareholder Nominees. Accordingly, the enclosed ***BLUE*** proxy card may be voted only for the Shareholder Nominees and does not confer voting power with respect to any of the director nominees proposed in the proxy statement distributed in the name of the Board of Directors of the Company. See "Voting Procedures" on page 10 and "Proxy Revocation Rights" on page 13 for additional information.

We are the only “participants” in this solicitation, as that term is used in federal securities law, and we have no substantial interest in the Company other than our ownership of shares. Of the 12,908,383 outstanding shares of common stock as of March 6, 2009, we beneficially own 1,309,323 shares, representing approximately 10.14% of the shares outstanding. We intend to vote all of our shares of Common Stock in favor of our election as directors and will not vote our shares in favor of any of the Company nominees. A detailed breakdown of this ownership appears in Appendix A to this proxy statement. Additionally, transactions by any of us within the past two years involving the Company’s common stock appear in Appendix B to this proxy statement.

Only Company shareholders of record at the close of business on March 27, 2009 (the “Record Date”) are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

The election of the Shareholder Nominees requires the affirmative vote of a PLURALITY of the votes cast, assuming a quorum is present or otherwise represented at the Annual Meeting. As a result, your vote is extremely important in deciding the future of the Company. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, you are urged to sign and date the enclosed ***BLUE*** proxy card and return it as instructed below.

This proxy statement and the enclosed ***BLUE*** proxy card are being mailed to you on or about April __, 2009.

Reasons for the Solicitation

We believe it is time for a change in the Company’s oversight. Over the past three fiscal years, management has delivered declining revenues, declining gross and net profits (increasing net losses), declining stockholder’s equity and declining stock prices. Yet, in our opinion, the Board has approved massively excessive compensation to management and their family members which flies in the face of these poor results.

As disclosed in the Company’s 2009 Proxy Statement and the Company’s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, the Board granted the Company’s top three executive officers over \$3 million in compensation in 2007 and over \$2 million in 2008, while the Company reported net losses of \$1.7 million in 2006, \$2.4 million in 2007 and \$5.5 million in 2008. In 2008, the net loss from continuing operations was even higher at \$6.4 million.

Also during this period, the Company employed, at great expense, relatives of the CEO. As disclosed in the Company’s 2008 Proxy Statement, three relatives of the Company’s CEO were employed by the Company in 2007 and received compensation in excess of \$120,000 each (for a total of \$607,761). In the Company’s 2009 Proxy Statement, the Company disclosed that one relative of the Company’s CEO received \$221,115 in compensation in 2008, but did not provide any disclosure as to whether the other related individuals were still employed by the Company .

In our opinion, this type of behavior has depleted scarce capital resources that would have been better expended on supporting the Company's now-struggling Cold-Eeze franchise and supporting additional funding for R&D to accelerate the slow, eight year development in the Pharma division.

We believe that the Board has rubber-stamped highly questionable business decisions that have resulted in a severe decline in financial performance. The Board approved the sale of key revenue producing assets in 2008 to a company for which the CEO's brother is a major shareholder (which was not disclosed in Company filings) and at a highly questionable valuation.

The Board approved the February 29, 2008 sale of a subsidiary (Darius) for a cash purchase price of \$1 million. We believe this price failed to maximize shareholder value. As disclosed in a registration statement filed by Innerlight Holdings, Inc. ("Innerlight"), the purchaser of Darius, Darius's net income was \$139,263 for the first two months of 2008 (i.e., pre-sale), and its subsequent net income for the remaining ten months of 2008 was \$1.3 million (net income from continuing operations was \$2.5 million for this period).

The Company, in its pleading against certain of the Shareholder Nominees and certain other persons (as discussed in Appendix C to this Proxy Statement) claims that the CEO's brother, Gary Quigley, was employed by the Company as a liaison between the Company and Darius and that he did not acquire his shareholdings in Innerlight until after the purchase of Darius. This claim is contradicted by Innerlight's July 21, 2008 registration statement which disclosed that "On February 28, 2008, [Innerlight] issued, in connection with the organization of the Company, a total of 10,600,000 shares of Common Stock . . . exchange for services rendered to the Company and for . . . cash consideration These issuances included . . . 2,250,000 shares and 468,000 warrants issued to Gary Quigley, a shareholder"

We have no way of verifying at this time whether the Company's or Innerlight's depiction of events is accurate, but even if the Company's assertions are accurate, we still believe that the CEO ought not to have hired his brother to "act as a liaison" with a business unit owned by the Company; and, we still believe that the acquisition by the CEO's brother of a substantial interest in that business warrants further disclosure by the Company.

We believe that this pattern of behavior stems from a Board of Directors that is not sufficiently independent of management. In fact, three of the seven members of the Board are current executive officers of the Company.

If the Shareholder Nominees are elected, consistent with and subject to our fiduciary duty to stockholders, we intend to: review the performance, compensation and composition of senior management and their family members; review the overall overhead structure of the Company to maximize profitability in the OTC operations; institute strong corporate governance policies to prevent nepotism and unfair related party transactions, including the requirement that a majority of independent directors approve all transactions (including the hiring of employees) that involve a related party; and work tirelessly to maximize shareholder returns.

Background for the Solicitation

Ted Karkus, one of the Shareholder Nominees, first invested in the Company's common stock in 1996 during the initial introduction and roll out of Cold-Eeze. Over the years, while again investing in the Company's common stock due to his belief in the potential of the Quigley Pharma division, he became disillusioned with the Company's executive management team. As a shareholder, he called and met with the Company's Chairman several times during the past two years to voice his concerns over the Company's direction, management's compensation and the slow development of the Pharma division due to the lack of appropriate funding.

In early 2008, during one of Mr. Karkus's calls with the Company's CEO and Chairman, Guy Quigley, Mr. Karkus briefly mentioned that he knew several wealthy individuals and that if Mr. Quigley were interested, Mr. Karkus could probably put together a bid for the Company. Mr. Quigley said he was not interested. Accordingly, Mr. Karkus did not further consider or explore that subject and did not contact anyone else regarding the possible sale of the Company. Surprisingly, in late 2008, during a call with Mr. Karkus, Mr. Quigley enquired whether Mr. Karkus could still potentially put together a bid for the Company. Speaking hypothetically, Mr. Karkus thought it would be possible. Mr. Quigley then stated he was still not interested and terminated this discussion. Mr. Karkus is still uncertain what prompted Mr. Quigley to initiate this subsequent discussion given his stated lack of interest. Due to the casual nature of the conversations and the fact that there was no follow-up, Mr. Karkus does not recollect more than the general periods of time in which the conversations took place. In any event, Mr. Karkus never approached any potential investors about purchasing the Company and, other than those two brief phone calls, did not discuss the purchase of the Company.

In or around April 2008, after reading the Company's proxy, Mr. Karkus became concerned about the bonuses and compensation packages awarded by the Company, about the employment and compensation of executive's family members and about the sale of Darius. Mr. Karkus wanted to speak to Mr. Phillips, the Company's Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer about his concerns, but Mr. Karkus believed Mr. Phillips would not be comfortable speaking to Mr. Karkus on these topics. He therefore asked another shareholder, who Mr. Karkus believed had a better relationship with Mr. Phillips, to set up and participate in a call with Mr. Phillips in order to discuss these issues in a way that would not be perceived by Mr. Phillips as being in any way "hostile".

The other shareholder was able to set up such a telephone call, during which call Mr. Karkus discussed his concerns with Mr. Phillips and asked Mr. Phillips his views as to whether changes to the composition of the Board of Directors would be helpful to the management of the Company. Mr. Phillips stated that he had no interest in taking a position adverse to Guy Quigley. The other shareholder then told Mr. Karkus that in view of Mr. Phillips's response, he had no interest in any plans or discussions concerning the composition of the Company's Board. Mr. Karkus had no further conversations with Mr. Phillips or the other shareholder on these topics.

Mr. Karkus also met with Guy Quigley after the 2008 annual shareholder's meeting and casually discussed the Company's performance. Mr. Karkus met with both Guy Quigley and the Company's Secretary later in the year to discuss Mr. Karkus's concerns regarding the Company's performance and management compensation, in particular the amount of the 2007 management bonuses. Mr. Karkus also sent the Chairman an e-mail in June of 2008 specifically questioning the performance of certain employees and the lack of sponsorship and trading volume in the Company's common stock. He did not receive a response to this email though he continued to receive email on unrelated topics from the Chairman. Due to the lack of responsiveness from the Company, Mr. Karkus became convinced that the Company was not serious about reform. Mr. Karkus decided it would be futile to make demands on the Board of Directors or to continue conversations with the board especially considering his belief that the current board is not sufficiently independent of management. As a result, he did not approach the Board of Directors prior to filing this Proxy Statement.

Proxy Solicitation by the Shareholder Nominees

In addition to the mailing of this Proxy Statement and the **BLUE** proxy card to the shareholders of the Company, we expect to solicit proxies by personal telephone calls, e-mails or visits to some shareholders.

Ted Karkus, one of the Shareholder Nominees, has engaged The Altman Group to assist in the solicitation of proxies by the Shareholder Nominees and to assist shareholders in submitting their **BLUE** proxy cards.

Thank you for your support,

The Shareholder Nominees

PROPOSAL ONE
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS FOR A ONE-YEAR TERM

The number of directors of the Company to be elected at the 2009 Annual Meeting is seven (7). The directors elected at the 2009 Annual Meeting will serve until their respective successors are elected and qualified or until their earlier death, resignation or removal, as provided for in the Company's bylaws and under Nevada law.

At the Annual Meeting, Ted Karkus, or another Shareholder Nominee, will propose the Shareholder Nominees for election to the board of directors. Each of the following nominees has consented to being named in this proxy statement and has consented to serve if elected. Additionally, each of the Shareholder Nominees qualify as independent directors under the rules of the NASDAQ and Mr. McCubbin qualifies as an audit committee financial expert.

Shareholder Nominees:

Ted Karkus, age 49, is the managing member of Forrester Financial, LLC which he founded in 2001. Forrester is a management consulting firm providing a wide range of services to emerging-growth companies. Forrester's services include the structuring and raising of working capital as well as assisting management in developing operational, marketing and financial strategies. He is the primary investor in all portfolio company financings. Mr. Karkus has extensive relationships with investment bankers, the media and a network of institutional investors and high net worth individuals. Mr. Karkus was instrumental in assisting the turn around of ID Biomedical, an influenza vaccine manufacturer, which in 2005 was sold to GalaxoSmithKline for over \$1.4 billion. He provided financing, investment bankers, substantial sponsorship and successfully advocated for the restructuring of management.

Mr. Karkus has 25 years of experience in securities and capital markets including 2 years with Fahnestock & Co. Inc., a full-service brokerage firm, where he was Senior Vice President, Director of Institutional Equities and 4 years at S.G. Warburg, an investment bank, where he was an institutional equity salesman and developed a large network of institutional investors. Mr. Karkus graduated with an MBA from Columbia University Graduate School of Business in 1984 where he received Beta Gamma Sigma honors. He graduated Magna Cum Laude from Tufts University in 1981.

Mark Burnett, age 49, is the EVP and CFO for MercBloc, LLC, which he co-founded in 2007. MercBloc, LLC is a financial services administrator that has raised more than \$500 million for investment from over 70 high net worth individuals. Since 1996, Mr. Burnett was in the business of building residential homes in the Nassau County region of Long Island, NY. For over 25 years, he also owned a seat on the New York Mercantile Exchange and started his career trading heating oil and crude oil futures contracts. He is still a member of NYMEX and currently holds memberships in the Chicago Climate Futures Exchange (C.C.F.E.) and the Intellectual Property Exchange International (I.P.X.I.). Mr. Burnett graduated with a double Bachelor of Arts from the State University of New York at Stony Brook in 1981.

John DeShazo, age 58, is the CEO of FBN Construction Company, Inc. which he founded in 1976. FBN Construction Company has established itself as one of the premier high-end residential construction contractors in the Greater Boston area. He is recognized by the national Association of Home Builders (NAHB) as a Certified Graduate Remodel (CGR), a Certified Aging in Place Specialist, a Certified Green Specialist and holds a Massachusetts and Boston Construction Supervisor's License. Mr. DeShazo has been recognized as the Builder of the Year and the Remodeler of the Year by the City of Boston and the State of Massachusetts as well as receiving numerous prestigious honors by the Builders Association of Greater Boston.

Mark Frank, age 47, is the President of a division of GSW Worldwide in Newtown, Pa. GSW is one of the largest health care advertising companies in the world with offices in 13 major markets. Mr. Frank has served as President since 2005 and was Executive Vice President before that. Mr. Frank has extensive marketing, advertising and brand development experience in the areas of pharmaceuticals/biotechnology, medical device and diagnostics and health and wellness. GSW is a subsidiary of inVentiv Health, Inc., a NASDAQ listed corporation. InVentiv Health, Inc. provides a broad range of services to companies in the health care industry and its client roster is comprised of more than 350 leading pharmaceutical, biotech, life sciences and healthcare payor companies, including all top 20 global pharmaceutical manufacturers. Prior to his 11 years with GSW/inVentiv Health, he was a Director of Marketing for Novartis Pharmaceuticals, which develops and markets patent protected prescription drugs, leading the development and marketing of several significant brands in various therapeutic categories. Mr. Frank graduated with a BS degree in Exercise Science in 1983 and a MS degree in Public Health in 1990 – both from the University of Massachusetts at Amherst.

Louis Gleckel, MD, age 53, co-founded ProHealth Care Associates in 1997, a comprehensive state of the art multi-specialty physician group practice with offices in Long Island and Bronx, NY. He is the Division Chief of Cardiology and Internal Medicine specializing in Preventative Cardiology, Metabolic Syndrome and Internal Medicine with particular emphasis on complications from high risk patients having Diabetes and Heart Disease. He was named to New York Magazine's Best Doctors list for 3 years, New York Metro Area Best Doctors list for 14 years and the new 2008 Nassau County Best Doctors list. For over 10 years Dr. Gleckel has been a team physician for the NY Jets and NY Islanders as well as for the tennis players at the US Open. Dr. Gleckel also served as Chairman of the Board of Invicta Corporation, a development stage company that designed, manufactured and marketed photochromic eyeglass lenses, for approximately 4 years until his resignation in February 2005.

Mark Leventhal, age 60, joined The Beacon Companies, LLP, a family business, full time in 1974 and was a General Partner. Beacon developed office buildings, hotels, retail and multi family housing throughout the United States. Some of the projects in the Boston area: Rowes Wharf consisting of 100 luxury condos, 400,000 square feet of office space, a 230 room hotel, and a marina; One Post Office Square 750,000 sq. ft. of office space; three additional hotels (over 700 rooms) including the Meridian Hotel; over 2500 multifamily housing units in and around Boston; and One, Two and Three Center Plaza.

Many of these properties formed the foundation for Beacon Properties, a REIT which went public with a \$400 million valuation in 1994 and was listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Beacon Properties was subsequently sold to Equity Office Properties, an owner and operator of a national portfolio of office buildings, for approximately \$4.4 billion in 1997. Since that time, Mr. Leventhal has continued to invest in real estate in Massachusetts, Rhode Island and Connecticut. Mr. Leventhal holds a Bachelor's degree in Civil Engineering from Northeastern University.

James McCubbin, age 45, is the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of WidePoint Corporation, an AMEX listed corporation. He also serves on WidePoint's Board of Directors and is its Secretary and Treasurer. Widepoint is a leading provider of Identity Access Management and Multi-Factor Authentication solutions offering advanced information technology through its innovative solutions to the government and commercial markets. Mr. McCubbin was promoted to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of WidePoint in May 2008. Prior to that time, from August 1998 till May 2008, Mr. McCubbin served as WidePoint's Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Prior to that time, from December 1997 to August 1998, Mr. McCubbin served as WidePoint's Vice President, Controller, Assistant Secretary and Treasurer. Prior to the commencement of his employment with WidePoint in November 1997, Mr. McCubbin held various financial consulting, management, and/or staff positions with several companies in the financial and government sectors including but not limited to Memtec America Corporation, a continuous microfiltration water technology company, McBee Consulting, a healthcare consulting firm, Martin Marietta presently known as Lockheed Martin a multinational aerospace manufacturer and advanced technology company formed in 1995 by the merger of Lockheed Corporation with Martin Marietta Corporation, and Ernst and Young, an international auditing and accounting firm. Mr. McCubbin presently serves on the Board of Directors of Tianjin Pharmaceutical Company, an AMEX listed company. Tianjin engages in the development, manufacture, marketing, and sale of traditional Chinese medicines and other pharmaceuticals in the Peoples Republic of China. It manufactures a portfolio of 38 products, as well as has a pipeline of 47 products, which are pending regulatory approvals with the China State Food and Drug Administration. The Company was founded in 1994 and is based in Chengdu, the Peoples Republic of China. Mr. McCubbin presently serves as Tianjin's Chairman of its Audit Committee, Nominating Committee, and Compensation Committee. Mr. McCubbin was on the Board of Directors of Redmile Entertainment, a worldwide developer and publisher of interactive entertainment software, and served as its Audit Committee Chairman until his resignation on March 1, 2008. Mr. McCubbin provides financial consulting services to small cap companies and has either served on or assisted various Boards of Directors over the past seven years. Mr. McCubbin is a graduate of the University of Maryland with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Finance and a Masters Degree in International Management.

In the event that a reasonable time before the date of the Annual Meeting it becomes necessary for us to nominate substitute directors, as discussed below, or if we learn of other business to be transacted at the meeting, we will disseminate supplemental soliciting materials and a revised proxy card. We do not expect that any Shareholder Nominees will be unable to stand for election, but if any of us are unable to serve, or for good cause will not serve, the Shares represented by the enclosed **BLUE** proxy card will be voted for substitute nominees. In addition, we reserve the right to nominate substitute persons if the Company makes or announces any changes to the Company's Bylaws or takes or announces any other action that has, or if consummated would have, the effect of disqualifying any one or more of the Shareholder Nominees. In any such case, Shares represented by the enclosed **BLUE** proxy card will be voted for such substitute nominees. We also reserve the right to nominate additional persons if the Company makes or announces any changes in the Company's Bylaws or takes or announces any action that has or, if consummated, would have the effect of increasing the number of directors of the Company.

We are not aware of any material procedural requirements that must be followed under the Company's by-laws, Nevada Law or otherwise to present the Shareholder Nominees for election at the Annual Meeting or that would prevent us from nominating substitute directors. If there are any such procedural requirements and we are unable to satisfy them, shareholders that vote using the BLUE proxy card will not have their vote recognized and will be disenfranchised with respect to the election of directors.

***WE URGE YOU TO VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE SHAREHOLDER NOMINEES
BY USING THE ENCLOSED BLUE PROXY CARD.***

We are soliciting your proxies for our election to the board of directors of the Company. Our business backgrounds are described above. The slate proposed by Guy J. Quigley as set forth in the proxy statement distributed in the name of the Company, consists of Guy J. Quigley and six others.

OTHER PROPOSALS

The Company has proposed that the shareholders ratify the selection of Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C. as the Company's independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2009. We do not object to the ratification of the appointment of Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C. as the Company's independent auditors and therefore recommend FOR such ratification. Abstentions will have the effect of a vote against this proposal, while broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

We know of no other business to be transacted at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, but if any other matters do come before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote or act with respect to them in accordance with their judgment. The proxies may exercise discretionary authority only as to matters unknown to them a reasonable time before the date of this proxy solicitation.

VOTING PROCEDURES

How do I vote by proxy if I am a registered holder?

To vote by proxy, you should complete, sign and date the enclosed **BLUE** proxy card and return it promptly in the enclosed postage-prepaid envelope. To be able to vote your shares in accordance with your instructions at the Annual Meeting, we must receive your proxy as soon as possible but, in any event, prior to the meeting. You may vote your shares without submitting a proxy, if you vote in person.

How do I vote in person if I am a registered holder?

If you held shares of the Company common stock on the Record Date you may attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person.

What if I am not the registered holder of my shares?

If your shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank nominee or other institution, that institution is considered to be the “registered holder,” and only that firm can give a proxy with respect to your shares. You may have received either a **BLUE** proxy card from the registered holder (which you can complete and send directly to the institution) or an instruction card (which you can complete and return to the registered holder to direct its voting of your shares). If the registered holder has not sent you either a **BLUE** proxy card or an instruction card, you may contact the registered holder directly to provide it with instructions.

You may receive more than one set of voting materials, including multiple copies of this proxy statement and multiple **BLUE** proxy cards or voting instruction cards. For example, if you hold shares in more than one brokerage account, you may receive a separate voting instruction card for each brokerage account in which your shares are held. You should complete, sign, date and return each **BLUE** proxy card and voting instruction card you receive.

You may also receive a white proxy or voting instruction card that is being solicited in the name of the Company’s board of directors. ***We urge you to discard any white proxy card or voting instruction card sent to you by the Company. If you have previously signed a white proxy card or white voting instruction card sent by the Company, we urge you to sign, date and promptly return the enclosed BLUE proxy card or voting instruction card before the Annual Meeting. By doing so, you will revoke any earlier dated proxy card or voting instruction card solicited by the Company’s board of directors. It is very important that you date your proxy. It is not necessary to contact the Company for your revocation to be effective.***

If you have questions or need assistance, please contact our proxy solicitor, The Altman Group, toll-free at (866) 796-7175.

What is a “legal proxy,” and when would I need one?

If you do not have registered ownership of your shares and want to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, or if you are voting for someone else at the Annual Meeting, you may obtain a document called a “legal proxy” from the registered holder of the shares or such other person and bring it to the Annual Meeting. If you need assistance, please contact our proxy solicitor, The Altman Group, toll-free at (866) 796-7175.

What should I do if I receive a white proxy?

Proxies on the white proxy card are being solicited by Guy J. Quigley in the name of the Company's Board of Directors. If you submit a proxy to us by signing and returning the enclosed **BLUE** proxy card, do not subsequently sign or return a white proxy card or follow any voting instructions provided by the Company, unless you intend to change your vote, because only your latest dated proxy card will be counted.

If you have already sent a white proxy card to the Company, you may revoke it and vote for the Shareholder Nominees by signing, dating and returning the enclosed BLUE proxy card or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. See "Proxy Revocation Rights" below.

Can I vote for the Shareholder Nominees on the white proxy card being circulated by the Company?

The only way to vote for the Shareholder Nominees is by voting the **BLUE** proxy card.

What if I want to revoke my proxy or change my voting instructions?

Please refer to the section on "Proxy Revocation Rights" below.

If I plan to attend the Annual Meeting, should I still submit a proxy?

Whether you plan to attend the Annual Meeting or not, we urge you to submit a **BLUE** proxy card. Returning the enclosed proxy card will not affect your right to attend the Annual Meeting and vote.

Who can vote?

You are eligible to vote or to execute a proxy only if you owned shares of common stock of the Company at the close of business on the Record Date, which the Board of Directors of the Company set at **March 27, 2009**. ***Even if you sell your shares after the Record Date, you will retain the right to execute a proxy in connection with the Annual Meeting.*** It is important that you grant a proxy regarding shares you held on the Record Date, or vote those shares in person, even if you no longer own those shares. On March 6, 2009, 12,908,383 shares of the Company's common stock were issued and outstanding.

How many votes do I have?

With respect to each matter to be considered at the Annual Meeting, you are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock owned on the Record Date. Based on documents publicly filed by the Company, the Company's only outstanding voting securities are its common stock.

How will my shares be voted?

If you give a proxy on the accompanying ***BLUE*** proxy card with instructions, your shares will be voted as you direct. If you submit a signed ***BLUE*** proxy card without instructions, your shares will be voted ***FOR the election of the Shareholder Nominees*** as directors of the Company.

Submitting a signed ***BLUE*** proxy card without instructions will entitle the Shareholder Nominees to vote your shares in their discretion on matters not described in this proxy statement that the Shareholder Nominees do not know, within a reasonable time before this solicitation, are to be presented at the Annual Meeting and that properly come before the Annual Meeting, or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

If shareholders holding shares of the Company stock in street name do not provide voting instructions, their shares may not be voted.

Unless a signed proxy specifies otherwise, it is presumed to relate to all shares held of record on the Record Date by the person who submitted it.

Can my broker vote my shares?

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in “street name” by a broker or nominee, the broker or nominee, as the record holder of the shares, is required to vote those shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give instructions to the broker or nominee, that person will not be permitted to vote the shares with respect to “non-routine” items. In that event, your shares will be treated as broker non-votes and will not be counted in determining whether the number of votes necessary to approve those matters has been obtained. In the present instance, the election of directors will be a non-routine item. As a result, if your shares are held in “street name” and you do not provide instructions as to how your shares are to be voted in the election of directors, your broker or other nominee will not be able to vote your shares in the election of directors, and your shares will not be voted for any of the Shareholder Nominees.

Can I abstain from voting?

When an eligible voter attends the meeting, in person or by proxy, but decides not to vote, his or her decision not to vote is called an abstention. Properly executed proxy cards that are marked “withhold authority” on any proposal will be treated as abstentions for that proposal. In all matters other than the election of directors, abstentions have the same effect as votes AGAINST the proposal.

How many votes are required for the election of directors?

A plurality of the shares of common stock present, in person or represented by proxy, and entitled to vote at the meeting is required to elect the nominees for director. A plurality means that the nominees receiving the largest number of votes, up to the number of nominees to be elected, will be elected. Each shareholder will be entitled to vote the number of shares of common stock held as of the record date by that shareholder for each director position to be filled. Shareholders will not be allowed to cumulate their votes in the election of directors.

If other matters are properly brought before the Annual Meeting, the vote required will be determined in accordance with applicable law and the Company's charter and bylaws, as applicable.

What is a quorum, and why is it necessary?

A quorum of shareholders is necessary to have a valid shareholders' meeting. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the Company's outstanding shares entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is necessary to constitute a quorum. Broker "non-votes" and abstentions are included for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting.

Can the meeting be adjourned or postponed?

The Company's bylaws provide that, if a quorum is not present, a shareholders' meeting may be adjourned from time to time by a majority of shares represented at the meeting.

How can I receive more information?

If you require assistance or have questions about giving your proxy or about this proxy solicitation, please contact The Altman Group, toll-free at (866) 796-7175.

PROXY REVOCATION RIGHTS

If I have already voted using the Company's white proxy card, can I revoke my proxy?

Any shareholder of record may revoke or change his or her proxy instructions at any time prior to the vote at the Annual Meeting.

How can I revoke my proxy if I am a shareholder of record?

You can change your proxy instructions by:

- submitting a properly executed, subsequently dated ***BLUE*** proxy card that will revoke all prior proxy cards, including any white proxy cards that you may have submitted to the Company; or
- attending the Annual Meeting and withdrawing your white proxy by voting in person (although attendance at the Annual Meeting will not in and of itself constitute revocation of a proxy).

How can I revoke my proxy if I hold my shares through a brokerage, bank or other institution?

If you hold your shares in "street name," i.e., through a brokerage, bank or other institution, you can revoke your proxy by: submitting a new proxy card or voting instruction form to your broker or nominee, either by mail or by telephone or by using the internet in accordance with instructions from your broker or nominee; or attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person, provided you have obtained a signed legal proxy from the record holder giving you the right to vote your shares.

If you choose to revoke a proxy by giving written notice or a later-dated proxy to the Secretary of the Company or by submitting new voting instructions to your broker or nominee, we would appreciate it if you would assist us in representing the interests of shareholders on an informed basis by sending a copy of the later-dated proxy or voting instructions directly to The Altman Group at 1200 Wall Street West, Lyndhurst, NJ 07071.

Please remember that only the latest dated proxy card will be counted. Therefore, we urge you to sign, date and return the BLUE proxy card accompanying this proxy statement.

PLEASE NOTE, that in order to vote FOR the election of the Shareholder Nominees as directors of the Company and FOR all of the shareholder proposals, you will need to complete and return the BLUE proxy card, regardless of whether or not you send a revocation.

PROXY SOLICITATION AND EXPENSES

Proxies may be solicited by mail, telephone, facsimile, telegraph, e-mail, newspaper advertisements, the internet and other publications of general distribution and in person. In connection with this solicitation of proxies, banks, brokers, custodians, nominees, other institutional holders and other fiduciaries will be asked to forward all soliciting materials to the beneficial owners of the shares that those institutions hold of record. Ted Karkus, one of the Shareholder Nominees, will reimburse those institutions for reasonable expenses that they incur in connection with forwarding the materials supplied by the Shareholder Nominees. As noted in "Proxy Solicitation by the Shareholder Nominees" above, Ted Karkus has engaged The Altman Group to assist in the solicitation of proxies by the Shareholder Nominees, and to assist shareholders in submitting their BLUE proxy cards. In addition to The Altman Group, members of the Shareholder Nominees may perform these services by personal telephone calls, e-mails and visits to some shareholders.

Costs related to this solicitation of proxies include expenditures for printing, postage, legal services (including any litigation costs arising in connection with this solicitation), proxy solicitation services and other related items. Ted Karkus is bearing the entire expense of this proxy solicitation on behalf of the Shareholder Nominees. Total expenditures are presently expected to be approximately \$200,000 to \$250,000. Total payments of costs to date by Ted Karkus are approximately \$50,000.

Ted Karkus will pay The Altman Group an estimated fee of up to \$60,000 (including variable costs) in connection with the solicitation and have agreed to reimburse it for its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. Approximately 12 persons will be used by The Altman Group in its solicitation efforts. Ted Karkus will indemnify The Altman Group and its officers, directors and employees, from any and all losses incurred by The Altman Group in connection with the solicitation of the proxies for the Stockholder Meeting.

If the Shareholder Nominees are elected to the Board of Directors, we will seek reimbursement of all solicitation expenses from the Company and do not currently intend to seek a vote of the shareholders for approval of such reimbursement.

**INFORMATION REGARDING PARTICIPANTS IN THIS SOLICITATION,
INCLUDING RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

Each of the Shareholder Nominees is a participant in this solicitation. For information regarding ownership of the Company's stock by the Shareholder Nominees, see Exhibit A. For information regarding purchases and sales of the Company's securities during the past two years by the Shareholder Nominees, see Exhibit B.

For the business backgrounds of the Shareholder Nominees, please see their business biographies as set forth in "Proposal 1 – Election of Directors for a One-Year Term". None of the Shareholder Nominees currently hold any position or office with the Company.

Except as set forth in Appendix C to this Proxy Statement, no Shareholder Nominee is involved in any material pending legal proceedings with respect to the Company. Except as set forth in this Proxy Statement, there is no other arrangement or understanding between any Shareholder Nominee and any other person pursuant to which he was or is to be selected as a Shareholder Nominee or Director.

No participant in this solicitation (i) is, or within the past year was, a party to any contract, arrangements or understandings with any person with respect to any of the Company's securities, including, but not limited to, joint ventures, loan or option arrangements, puts or calls, guarantees against loss or guarantees of profit, division of losses or profits, or the giving or withholding of proxies; (ii) has, nor do any of their associates have, any arrangement or understanding with any person with respect to any future employment by the Company or its affiliates; and (iii) has, nor do any of their associates have, any arrangement or understanding with any person with respect to any future transactions to which the Company or any of its affiliates will or may be a party. No person, including the participants in this solicitation, who is a party to an arrangement or understanding pursuant to which the Shareholder Nominees are proposed to be elected has a substantial interest, direct or indirect, by security holdings or otherwise in any matter to be acted on at the Annual Meeting.

On March 7, 2002, Forrester Financial, LLC ("Forrester"), a company controlled by Ted Karkus, entered a consulting agreement with the Company which included the issuance of warrants to purchase the Company's shares at an exercise price of \$6.50 per share. Forrester subsequently exercised 500,000 of these warrants. In 2003, Forrester entered into an agreement with the Company whereby the Company or its designees had the right to vote the shares of Common Stock acquired by Forrester on the exercise of the warrants for so long as Forrester had the power to direct the voting of such shares. Forrester sold all of the shares acquired through the exercise of the warrants more than two years ago in open market transactions. Forrester does not currently own any shares of common stock of the Company.

All information in this proxy statement about the Shareholder Nominees has been provided by the Shareholder Nominees and each of the Shareholder Nominees, as nominees, has consented to being named in the proxy statement.

This Proxy Statement incorporates by reference important information about the Shareholder Nominees from other documents that are not included in or delivered with this Proxy Statement. Such information is included in documents filed by the Company with the SEC and is available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. The Shareholder Nominees will provide, without charge, to each person whom a copy of this Proxy Statement is delivered, upon the written or oral request of such person and by first class mail or other equally prompt means within one business day of receipt of such request, a copy of the documents incorporated by reference herein. Requests should be directed to the attention of Ted Karkus, 188 Hewlett Neck Road, Woodmere, NY 11598, tel: 516.569.9999. You may obtain additional copies of this proxy at www.shareholdermaterial.com/ggly.

Additional information concerning the Shareholder Nominees is also contained in Appendices A and B to this Proxy Statement and in the Schedule 13D filed by the Shareholder Nominees with the SEC on April 7, 2009, available at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

REPORTS ABOUT OWNERSHIP OF THE COMPANY'S COMMON STOCK AND COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16 (a) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires the Company's officers, directors and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company's equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Officers, directors and greater than ten-percent stockholders are required by the Commission's regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

The Company disclosed in its proxy that based solely on its review of the copies of such forms received by it, it believes that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, all reports of ownership and changes in ownership applicable to its executive officers, directors, and greater than ten-percent beneficial owners were filed on a timely basis.

The Shareholder Nominees were obligated to file a Form 3 pursuant to Section 16(a) on April 16, 2009 in connection with their formation of a group and the Form 3 was filed on April 27, 2009 .

INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY

The mailing address of the Company's principal executive office is Kells Building, 621 Shady Retreat Road, P.O. Box 1349, Doylestown, PA 18901.

Except as otherwise noted herein, the information in this proxy statement concerning the Company has been taken from or is based upon documents and records on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and other publicly available information.

THE SHAREHOLDER NOMINEES HAVE OMITTED FROM THIS PROXY STATEMENT CERTAIN DISCLOSURE REQUIRED BY APPLICABLE LAW THAT IS INCLUDED IN THE COMPANY'S PROXY STATEMENT. THIS DISCLOSURE INCLUDES, AMONG OTHER THINGS, SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS, DIRECTORS AND MANAGEMENT, INFORMATION REGARDING SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING, BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY'S DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, INFORMATION CONCERNING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND AN ANALYSIS OF CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURNS ON AN INVESTMENT IN SHARES DURING THE PAST FIVE YEARS. SHAREHOLDERS SHOULD REFER TO THE COMPANY'S PROXY STATEMENT IN ORDER TO REVIEW THIS DISCLOSURE. THE SHAREHOLDER NOMINEES URGE YOU TO SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE PROXY CARD IN FAVOR OF THE ELECTION OF THE SHAREHOLDER NOMINEES.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

*Please mark, sign and date the enclosed **BLUE** proxy card and return it promptly in the enclosed postage-prepaid envelope.*

IMPORTANT NOTICE

1. *If your shares are held in your own name*, please mark, date and mail the enclosed **BLUE** proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided.
2. *If your shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm*, bank nominee or other institution, only it can vote such shares and only upon receipt of your specific instructions. Contact the person responsible for your account and give instructions for a **BLUE** proxy card to be signed representing your shares or you may vote by telephone or internet.
3. *If you have already submitted a white proxy card* to the Company for the Annual Meeting, you may change your vote to a vote FOR the election of the Shareholder Nominees by marking, signing, dating and returning the enclosed **BLUE** proxy card for the Annual Meeting, which must be dated after any proxy you may have submitted to the Company. You may also submit your later-dated proxy by using the enclosed **BLUE** proxy card or if you hold through a bank or broker you may vote by telephone or by the internet. **ONLY YOUR LATEST-DATED PROXY FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING WILL COUNT AT THE ANNUAL MEETING.**

If you have any questions or require any assistance in executing your proxy, please call The Altman Group, toll-free at (866) 796-7175.

APPENDIX A

INFORMATION CONCERNING SHARE OWNERSHIP OF SHAREHOLDER NOMINEES

SECURITY OWNERSHIP

The following table sets forth information concerning ownership of the Company's Common Stock as of March 31, 2009 by each Shareholder Nominee. Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The percentages calculated pursuant to this Item are based upon an aggregate of 12,908,383 Shares outstanding as of March 6, 2009, as reported by the Company in its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Except as set forth in the footnotes to this table, none of the Shareholder Nominees owns shares of the Company's Common Stock of record, but not beneficially.

| Name | Common Stock Beneficially Owned | Percent of Class |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Ted Karkus | 620,850 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | 4.8% |
| Mark Burnett | 151,473 ⁽³⁾ | 1.17% |
| John DeShazo | 277,000 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 2.14% |
| Mark Frank | 0 ⁽⁶⁾ | 0% |
| Louis Gleckel, MD | 20,000 ⁽⁷⁾ | 0.15% |
| Mark Leventhal | 240,000 ⁽⁸⁾ | 1.85% |
| James McCubbin | 0 ⁽⁹⁾ | 0% |
| ALL SHAREHOLDER NOMINEES (Seven Persons) | 1,309,323 | 10.14% |

(1) Mr. Karkus disclaims beneficial ownership of 25,850 shares held as custodian for his minor children.

(2) Mr. Karkus's business address is 188 Hewlett Neck Road, Woodmere, NY 11598.

(3) Mr. Burnett's business address is c/o MercBloc, LLC, 241 East Shore Road, Suite 205, Great Neck, NY 11023.

(4) Mr. DeShazo disclaims beneficial ownership of 41,000 shares held as custodian for his children.

(5) Mr. DeShazo's business address is c/o FBN Construction Company, Inc., 17 Wolcott Court, Boston, MA 02136.

(6) Mr. Frank's business address is c/o GSW Worldwide, 41 University Drive, Suite 100 Newtown, PA 18940.

(7) Dr. Louis Gleckel's business address is c/o ProHealth Care Associates, 2 Ohio Drive, Lake Success, NY 11042.

(8) Mr. Leventhal's business address is c/o The Beacon Companies, 50 Federal Street, Boston, MA 02110.

(9) Mr. McCubbin's business address is c/o WidePoint Corporation, Midwest Office Center, 18W100, 22nd Street, Suite 104, Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181.

APPENDIX B

PURCHASE AND SALE OF SECURITIES BY SHAREHOLDER NOMINEES

The following table sets forth information with respect to all purchases and (sales) of the Company common stock by each of the Shareholder Nominees in the period from April 1, 2007, through the date of this Proxy Statement. None of the purchase price or market value of the shares listed below is represented by funds borrowed or otherwise obtained for the purpose of acquiring or holding such shares.

TED KARKUS

| <u>TRADE DATE</u> | <u>QUANTITY</u> | <u>TRADE DATE</u> | <u>QUANTITY</u> |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 4/26/07 | 3,435 | 9/7/07 | 3,400 |
| 4/27/07 | 1,600 | 9/10/07 | 2,100 |
| 4/30/07 | 3,810 | 9/11/07 | 9,001 |
| 5/2/07 | 613 | 9/14/07 | (500) |
| 5/3/07 | 1,000 | 9/17/07 | (26,800) |
| 5/7/07 | 18,400 | 9/19/07 | 10,926 |
| 5/8/07 | 27,321 | 9/19/07 | (14,174) |
| 5/9/07 | 9,300 | 9/24/07 | (1,069) |
| 5/9/07 | (1,100) | 9/26/07 | (28,460) |
| 5/10/07 | 800 | 9/27/07 | (1,900) |
| 5/11/07 | 1,070 | 9/28/07 | 100 |
| 5/14/07 | 1,371 | 10/8/07 | 6,100 |
| 5/15/07 | 100 | 10/9/07 | (200) |
| 5/16/07 | 1,150 | 10/10/07 | (500) |
| 5/16/07 | (1,000) | 10/10/07 | 5,000 |
| 5/24/07 | 900 | 10/11/07 | (3,306) |
| 5/25/07 | 100 | 10/11/07 | 1,598 |
| 5/29/07 | 700 | 10/12/07 | (1,550) |
| 5/30/07 | 800 | 10/12/07 | 6,100 |
| 6/15/07 | 21,140 | 10/15/07 | 2,500 |
| 6/15/07 | (2,390) | 10/16/07 | 257 |
| 6/22/07 | 1,470 | 10/17/07 | (200) |
| 6/22/07 | (2,000) | 10/17/07 | 4,000 |
| 6/25/07 | 7,200 | 10/18/07 | 200 |
| 6/25/07 | (2,743) | 10/19/07 | 100 |
| 6/26/07 | (1,100) | 10/22/07 | (200) |
| 6/27/07 | 205 | 10/22/07 | 2,518 |
| 6/28/07 | (4,200) | 10/23/07 | (1,900) |
| 6/29/07 | 600 | 10/23/07 | 8,100 |
| 6/29/07 | (3,516) | 10/24/07 | (600) |
| 7/2/07 | (1,100) | 10/24/07 | 1,500 |
| 7/5/07 | 400 | 10/25/07 | (1,100) |
| 7/5/07 | (100) | 10/25/07 | 18,793 |
| 7/6/07 | 100 | 10/26/07 | (500) |
| 7/6/07 | (316) | 10/26/07 | 3,948 |
| 7/9/07 | 300 | 10/29/07 | (575) |
| 7/9/07 | (100) | 10/29/07 | 1,150 |
| 7/10/07 | 1,600 | 10/30/07 | (1,500) |
| 7/12/07 | 300 | 10/31/07 | (6,700) |
| 7/12/07 | (1,900) | 10/31/07 | 5,300 |
| 9/6/07 | 14,268 | 11/01/07 | 27,660 |

| TRADE DATE | QUANTITY | TRADE DATE | QUANTITY |
|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| 11/2/07 | (2,590) | 1/16/08 | (100) |
| 11/2/07 | 16,600 | 1/16/08 | 450 |
| 11/5/07 | (600) | 1/22/08 | (450) |
| 11/5/07 | 1,800 | 1/22/08 | 8,600 |
| 11/7/07 | 3,000 | 1/25/08 | (600) |
| 11/8/07 | (1,000) | 1/25/08 | 730 |
| 11/8/07 | 2,300 | 1/28/08 | (1,530) |
| 11/9/07 | 610 | 1/28/08 | 6,500 |
| 11/12/07 | (5,400) | 1/29/08 | (700) |
| 11/12/07 | 40,450 | 1/29/08 | 5,100 |
| 11/13/07 | (2,433) | 1/30/08 | (200) |
| 11/13/07 | 4,300 | 1/30/08 | (1,500) |
| 11/14/07 | (300) | 1/31/08 | (2,577) |
| 11/14/07 | 2,575 | 2/1/08 | 181,431 |
| 11/15/07 | (100) | 2/1/08 | (7,054) |
| 11/15/07 | 4,300 | 2/5/08 | 1,600 |
| 11/16/07 | (500) | 2/5/08 | (100) |
| 11/16/07 | 1,325 | 2/7/08 | 3,600 |
| 11/19/07 | 17,500 | 2/11/08 | (3,140) |
| 11/20/07 | (100) | 2/12/08 | (22,700) |
| 11/20/07 | 4,638 | 2/15/08 | 1,800 |
| 11/21/07 | (500) | 2/15/08 | (500) |
| 11/21/07 | 2,700 | 3/17/08 | 500 |
| 11/27/07 | (1,276) | 3/17/08 | 7,400 |
| 11/27/07 | 7,213 | 3/18/08 | 500 |
| 11/28/07 | (400) | 3/19/08 | (1,096) |
| 11/28/07 | 2,000 | 3/20/08 | 700 |
| 11/29/07 | (300) | 3/20/08 | 496 |
| 11/30/07 | (900) | 3/25/08 | 373 |
| 11/30/07 | 3,700 | 3/27/08 | 1,923 |
| 12/3/07 | (100) | 3/28/08 | (650) |
| 12/3/07 | 4,252 | 3/28/08 | 100 |
| 12/4/07 | (600) | 3/31/08 | (746) |
| 12/4/07 | 4,254 | 3/31/08 | (3,000) |
| 12/5/07 | 100 | 4/1/08 | 1,200 |
| 12/6/07 | 700 | 4/3/08 | 4,000 |
| 12/6/07 | (900) | 4/4/08 | (11,200) |
| 12/12/07 | 200 | 4/7/08 | (524) |
| 12/24/07 | 700 | 4/16/08 | 1,800 |
| 12/26/07 | 2,200 | 4/16/08 | 4,311 |
| 12/26/07 | (5,000) | 4/18/08 | 300 |
| 12/27/07 | 12,971 | 4/22/08 | 100 |
| 12/28/07 | 11,100 | 4/23/08 | 800 |
| 12/31/07 | 26,100 | 4/24/08 | 100 |
| 12/31/07 | (300) | 4/25/08 | 400 |
| 1/2/08 | 10,670 | 4/28/08 | 1,800 |
| 1/2/08 | (480) | 4/29/08 | 13,528 |
| 1/3/08 | 5,550 | 4/30/08 | (100) |
| 1/3/08 | (300) | 4/30/08 | 7,750 |
| 1/4/08 | 200 | 5/1/08 | (1,500) |
| 1/7/08 | 100 | 5/1/08 | (1,865) |
| 1/7/08 | (1,700) | 5/2/08 | 400 |
| 1/9/08 | 13,300 | 5/5/08 | (2,400) |
| 1/9/08 | (3730) | 5/29/08 | (100) |
| 1/16/08 | 100 | 5/30/08 | 100 |

| TRADE DATE | QUANTITY | TRADE DATE | QUANTITY |
|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| 7/15/08 | 1,402 | 11/03/08 | 1,000 |
| 7/31/08 | 598 | 11/4/08 | (1,600) |
| 8/5/08 | 500 | 11/4/08 | 400 |
| 8/11/08 | 600 | 11/6/08 | 100 |
| 8/12/08 | 1,300 | 11/7/08 | (4,250) |
| 9/16/08 | 100 | 11/10/08 | 900 |
| 9/18/08 | 100 | 11/12/08 | 500 |
| 9/29/08 | 100 | 11/13/08 | (2,585) |
| 9/29/08 | 300 | 11/13/08 | 900 |
| 10/1/08 | (17,000) | 11/14/08 | 900 |
| 10/1/08 | 100 | 11/17/08 | 7600 |
| 10/3/08 | 1,100 | 11/19/08 | (11,300) |
| 10/3/08 | (41,464) | 11/19/08 | 800 |
| 10/6/08 | 400 | 11/20/08 | 3,900 |
| 10/7/08 | 200 | 11/20/08 | (4,365) |
| 10/8/08 | 700 | 11/21/08 | (10,500) |
| 10/8/08 | (3,736) | 11/21/08 | 2,600 |
| 10/9/08 | 1,900 | 11/24/08 | 5600 |
| 10/10/08 | 3,600 | 11/24/08 | (8,100) |
| 10/13/08 | (8,898) | 11/25/08 | 2000 |
| 10/13/08 | 4,300 | 11/25/08 | (4607) |
| 10/16/08 | 10,300 | 11/26/08 | (26,393) |
| 10/17/08 | 600 | 11/28/08 | 7,000 |
| 10/17/08 | (4,100) | 12/1/08 | 13,000 |
| 10/17/08 | 2,000 | 12/2/08 | 40,500 |
| 10/20/08 | (400) | 12/8/08 | 2,000 |
| 10/20/08 | 200 | 12/9/08 | 100 |
| 10/22/08 | (100) | 12/10/08 | 400 |
| 10/22/08 | 600 | 12/11/08 | 300 |
| 10/23/08 | (700) | 12/12/08 | 500 |
| 10/23/08 | 1,100 | 12/15/08 | 200 |
| 10/24/08 | 100 | 12/29/08 | 400 |
| 10/24/08 | (102) | 1/5/09 | 600 |
| 10/27/08 | 600 | 1/6/09 | 2600 |
| 10/29/08 | 200 | 1/22/09 | 984 |
| 10/2/08 | 100 | 1/23/09 | 1,416 |
| 10/31/08 | 200 | | |

MARK BURNETT

| TRADE DATE | QUANTITY | TRADE DATE | QUANTITY |
|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| 3/13/07 | 7,000 | | |
| 3/14/07 | 9,000 | 5/30/08 | 1,030 |
| 3/16/07 | 7,400 | 6/3/08 | 300 |
| 5/1/08 | 4,000 | 6/13/08 | 7,300 |
| 5/2/08 | 4,000 | 7/8/08 | 700 |
| 5/5/08 | 2,400 | 7/9/08 | 4,300 |
| 5/6/08 | 1,001 | 7/10/08 | 5,000 |
| 5/7/08 | 2,200 | 7/11/08 | 4,500 |
| 5/8/08 | 100 | 7/14/08 | 15,560 |
| 5/14/08 | 7,499 | 12/1/08 | 3,000 |
| 5/19/08 | 2,200 | 12/2/08 | 2,500 |
| 5/20/08 | 1,000 | 12/3/08 | 100 |
| 5/21/08 | 10 | 12/9/08 | 600 |
| 5/22/08 | 500 | | |

| <u>TRADE DATE</u> | <u>QUANTITY</u> | <u>TRADE DATE</u> | <u>QUANTITY</u> |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 12/22/08 | 2,095 | 3/4/09 | 1,600 |
| 1/21/09 | 800 | 3/5/09 | 4,900 |
| 1/22/09 | 397 | 3/6/09 | 2,500 |
| 1/26/09 | 1,500 | 3/10/09 | 2,500 |
| 1/28/09 | 1,500 | 3/11/09 | 2,000 |
| 2/9/09 | 1,000 | 3/12/09 | 3,345 |
| 2/13/09 | 7,175 | 3/16/09 | 100 |
| 2/27/09 | 1,000 | 3/19/09 | 1,000 |
| 3/2/09 | 2,500 | 3/23/09 | 2,500 |
| 3/3/09 | 5,000 | 3/24/09 | 1,861 |

JOHN DESHAZO

| <u>TRADE DATE</u> | <u>QUANTITY</u> | <u>TRADE DATE</u> | <u>QUANTITY</u> |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 4/26/07 | 1,200 | 2/22/08 | 4,000 |
| 5/10/07 | 2,000 | 2/25/08 | 1,000 |
| 7/13/07 | 1,000 | 5/12/08 | 4,175 |
| 9/12/07 | 3,100 | 5/14/08 | 202 |
| 12/24/07 | (36,000) | 5/16/08 | 900 |
| 2/12/08 | 10,213 | 5/19/08 | 344 |
| 2/13/08 | 11,227 | 5/20/08 | 100 |
| 2/14/08 | 4,500 | 5/21/08 | 5,100 |
| 2/15/08 | 5,219 | 5/22/08 | 800 |
| 2/19/08 | 1,700 | 11/24/08 | 10,000 |
| 2/21/08 | 1,700 | 11/25/08 | 10,000 |

MARK LEVENTHAL

| <u>TRADE DATE</u> | <u>QUANTITY</u> |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| 5/1/08 | 100 |
| 9/19/08 | 8,000 |
| 9/22/08 | 1,950 |
| 9/23/08 | 3,700 |
| 9/24/08 | 402 |
| 9/25/08 | 1,274 |
| 9/29/08 | 343 |
| 9/30/08 | 4,331 |
| 10/6/08 | 16,000 |
| 10/16/08 | 22,500 |
| 10/17/08 | 21,500 |
| 1/27/09 | 5,000 |
| 2/6/09 | 350 |
| 2/10/09 | 1,800 |
| 2/11/09 | 1,400 |
| 2/19/09 | 1,400 |
| 2/25/09 | 50 |

APPENDIX C

On April 23, 2009, The Quigley Corporation filed a complaint (the "Complaint") in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania against Ted Karkus, John Edmunds Ligums, Sr. ("Ligums"), Mark Burnett, John DeShazo, Louis Gleckel and Mark Leventhal (collectively, the "Defendants"). The Complaint asserts alleged violations of Sections 13(d), 14(a) and 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Company's material allegations, and the response of the Shareholder Nominees, are summarized below.

Quigley's Allegations

"In making solicitations for shareholder proxies, and his solicitation against execution of proxies voting in favor of the slate of candidates for the Board of Directors, proposed by the existing Directors of the Company, defendants Karkas [sp], Burnett, DeShazo, Gleckel and Leventhal are and were at all times working with defendant Ligums." Complaint, paragraph 32.

"Defendant Ligums has, from time to time, joined with Mr. Karkus in his efforts to profit from trading in Quigley common stock or to influence management to implement measures to advance their short-term interests. Ligums uses the Internet pseudonym "John E. Montana" and his Facebook page indicates that he is a "fan" of "Cold-EEZE," the signature product sold by the Company. He has boasted to Quigley management that he controls over one million shares of Quigley stock. In late 2002, Ligums contacted Quigley, claiming that he could assist the Company in promoting its stock with a large financial institution. More recently, in early 2008, defendants Ligums and Karkus together approached a senior executive at Quigley, suggesting that he join with them in a proxy contest to oust the current CEO, Guy Quigley. Defendant Ligums' Facebook page includes as a "friend" Dr. Roger Sohn, a Quigley shareholder who contacted the Board in 2008, raising many of the issues now raised by defendant Karkus and his allies in their proxy campaign. Ligums has introduced Karkus to several members of the Karkus "group" described below." Complaint, paragraph 18

"On April 7, 2009, defendant Karkus, along with all defendants other than defendant Ligums, filed a Schedule 13D with the SEC announcing, among other things, they had formed a "group," as such term is used in Section 13(d)(1)(k) of the rules and regulations under the Exchange Act. These defendants announced that their "group" had been formed to solicit proxies in connection with the 2009 Annual Meeting of the Company. There can be no doubt that defendant Ligums played a key role in fanning the "group." His agreements, relationships, and understandings with the other members of the "group," however, are nowhere disclosed in the Schedule 13D. Indeed, defendant Ligums has extensive connections with other members of the "group." In addition to their joint roles involving Quigley, defendant Ligums and Karkus have extensive personal relationships and have engaged in other joint investments. For example, both Ligums and Karkus were investors in Truelite, Inc., a start up company. Defendant Ligums' Facebook pages list both Mr. Karkus and one or more of his children as "friends." Defendant DeShazo is also a Facebook "friend" of defendant Ligums. Defendant DeShazo's business, FNB Construction, contains a testimonial from defendant Ligums on its web site. Defendant Leventhal is likewise linked to defendant Ligums. Defendant Leventhal invested in Empire Financial Holding Co., a now defunct brokerage firm that employed both Mr. Ligums and his wife, Ann Bradford Ligums, in 2008. One or more of defendants use Mr. Ligums' firm, J.P. Turner, as their stock broker." Complaint, paragraph 19.

"Defendants deliberately did not disclose Ligums as a 'participant' because such disclosure would have required Defendants to set forth in their proxy statement Ligums' extensive track record of securities law violations." Complaint, paragraph 34.

Our Responses

The Shareholder Nominees deny the Company's allegation. Mr. Karkus, Mr. Leventhal and Mr. DeShazo have had personal and business relationships with Mr. Ligums. No other Shareholder Nominee has any relationship with Mr. Ligums.

The Shareholder Nominees confirm that there is no agreement or understanding between any of the Shareholder Nominees and Mr. Ligums relating to this proxy solicitation, The Quigley Corporation, or the voting of any shares of The Quigley Corporation.

The Shareholder Nominees confirm that Mr. Ligums has not been involved in the financing, preparation or distribution of this proxy statement.

As stated previously, Mr. Karkus, Mr. Leventhal and Mr. DeShazo have had personal and business relationships with Mr. Ligums. No other Shareholder Nominee has any relationship with Mr. Ligums.

In or around April 2008, after reading the Company's proxy, Mr. Karkus became concerned about the bonuses and compensation packages awarded by the Company, about the employment and compensation of executive's family members and about the sale of Darius. Mr. Karkus wanted to speak to Mr. Phillips, the Company's Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer about his concerns, but Mr. Karkus believed Mr. Phillips would not be comfortable speaking to Mr. Karkus on these topics. He therefore asked Mr. Ligums, who Mr. Karkus believed had a better relationship with Mr. Phillips, to set up and participate in a call with Mr. Phillips in order to discuss these issues in a way that would not be perceived by Mr. Phillips as being in any way "hostile".

Mr. Ligums was able to set up such a telephone call, during which call Mr. Karkus discussed his concerns with Mr. Phillips and asked Mr. Phillips his views as to whether changes to the composition of the Board of Directors would be helpful to the management of the Company. Mr. Phillips stated that he had no interest in taking a position adverse to Guy Quigley. Mr. Ligums then told Mr. Karkus that in view of Mr. Phillips's response, he had no interest in any plans or discussions concerning the composition of the Company's Board.

Mr. Karkus had no further conversations with Mr. Phillips or Mr. Ligums on these topics.

The Shareholder Nominees deny the Company's allegation. Mr. Karkus, Mr. Leventhal and Mr. DeShazo have had personal and business relationships with Mr. Ligums. No other Shareholder Nominee has any relationship with Mr. Ligums.

The Shareholder Nominees confirm that there is no agreement or understanding between any of the Shareholder Nominees and Mr. Ligums relating to this proxy solicitation, The Quigley Corporation, or the voting of any shares of The Quigley Corporation.

The Shareholder Nominees confirm that Mr. Ligums has not been involved in the financing, preparation or distribution of this proxy statement.

Because Mr. Ligums has no involvement with this proxy statement, this claim is false on its face. Because the Shareholder Nominees are not acting in concert with Mr. Ligums and Mr. Ligums is not a part of our 13D group and is not a participant in our proxy solicitation, we have no comment with respect to what the Company characterizes as Mr. Ligums' "track record", other than to note that all of the matters referred to by the Company occurred more than 10 years ago.

The Company claims that the attestation by the Defendants in the Schedule 13D filed by Mr. Karkus, Mr. Burnett, Mr. DeShazo, Dr. Gleckel and Mr. Leventhal, that they “do not have any plans or proposals’ relating to any possible sale of the Company or to engage in any other major transactions” is false. Complaint, paragraph 22.

“In January 2008, defendant Karkus approached [the Company’s] CEO, Guy Quigley and indicated that he was prepared to purchase the [C]ompany at a \$2 per share premium to the then current market price. One or more defendants had plans to join in the bid. In January 2009, defendant Karkus renewed his proposal, indicating that he had a buyer for the Company.” Complaint, paragraph 23.

“The Preliminary Proxy Statement . . . fails to disclose defendants’ . . . program to arrange for a sale, most likely to one of their own group, or alternatively spin-off Quigley’s Pharma division” Complaint, paragraph 24.

The Shareholder Nominees do not have any current plans or proposals with respect to a sale of the Company. The Shareholder Nominees do not have any current plans or proposals with respect to any other major transactions. Once elected, the Shareholder Nominees intend to conduct an in-depth review of the Company’s operations, and formulate proposals to improve the Company’s business operations and increase shareholder value.

In early 2008, during one of Mr. Karkus’s calls with the Company’s CEO and Chairman, Guy Quigley, Mr. Karkus briefly mentioned that he knew several wealthy individuals and that if Mr. Quigley were interested, Mr. Karkus could probably put together a bid for the Company. Mr. Quigley said he was not interested. Accordingly, Mr. Karkus did not further consider or explore that subject and did not contact anyone else regarding the possible sale of the Company.

Surprisingly, in late 2008, during a call with Mr. Karkus, Mr. Quigley enquired whether Mr. Karkus could still potentially put together a bid for the Company. Speaking hypothetically, Mr. Karkus thought it would be possible. Mr. Quigley then stated he was still not interested and terminated this discussion. Mr. Karkus is still uncertain what prompted Mr. Quigley to initiate this subsequent discussion given his stated lack of interest.

Due to the casual nature of the conversations and the fact that there was no follow-up, Mr. Karkus does not recollect more than the general periods of time in which the conversations took place. In any event, Mr. Karkus never approached any potential investors about purchasing the Company and, other than those two brief phone calls, did not discuss the purchase of the Company.

As discussed above, the Shareholder Nominees do not have any current plans to engage in the type of transaction described.

The Preliminary Proxy Statement is false and misleading in its description of Darius as a “key revenue producing asset” as Darius had not been a profitable segment of the Company since 2005. Darius had lost \$1,227,604 and \$688,111 in 2006 and 2007, respectively. In addition, the revenues were stagnating and in decline in the three years prior to disposal. Complaint, paragraph 25.

The Preliminary Proxy Statement is false and misleading because of the claim that Darius was sold to “a company for which the CEO’s brother is a major shareholder (which was not disclosed in Company filings).” On February 29, 2008, the Company sold the asset, Darius International Inc. (“Darius”) to Innerlight Holdings, Inc. (“Innerlight”), whose major shareholder is Kevin P. Brogan, the former president of Darius. Prior to the sale of Darius, Gary Quigley, the brother of the Company’s Chairman, President and CEO, was employed by the Company as a liaison between the Company and the Darius segment. While Gary Quigley is currently a shareholder of Innerlight, it was not until after the sale of Darius that Gary Quigley acquired his Innerlight shareholdings. Defendants’ claim that an arrangement was in place before the sale is false. Complaint, paragraph 25.

Darius was a key revenue producing asset for the Company. The Company reports at page F-9 of its Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 that Darius had produced sales of \$15,274,940 for the year ended December 31, 2006 and \$11,233,879 for the year ended December 31, 2007. Although Darius had experienced losses in 2006 and 2007, its business had turned the corner and was profitable for the first two months of 2008 (prior to the sale). The Company reports on page F-9 of its Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 that for the first two months of 2008 (prior to the sale) Darius had net income of \$139,263. In fact, for the remaining ten months of 2008, the Darius business generated net income of more than \$1.3 million with net income from operations of over \$2.5 million, as reported on page F-3 of the Form S-1/A filed on April 17, 2009 by Innerlight Holdings, Inc., the purchaser of Darius.

Innerlight’s initial registration statement filed on July 21, 2008 stated on page 43 that “On February 28, 2008, [Innerlight] issued, in connection with the organization of the Company, a total of 10,600,000 shares of Common Stock . . . exchange for services rendered to the Company and for . . . cash consideration These issuances included . . . 2,250,000 shares and 468,000 warrants issued to Gary Quigley, a shareholder” Innerlight repeated this disclosure as recently as April 17, 2009, more than one year after the sale of Darius, on page 37 of the fifth amendment to this registration statement.

Interestingly, the Shareholder Nominees note that on April 23, 2009, two weeks after the Shareholder Nominees filed their preliminary proxy materials and six days after Innerlight’s last amendment, Innerlight further amended their registration statement for the sole purpose of revising this disclosure to state that Gary Quigley acquired his shares on March 5, 2008 (five days after the Darius transaction).

The Preliminary Proxy Statement is false and misleading because of the claim that the Company “sold the asset at ‘a highly questionable valuation,’ Marcum & Kliegman LLP, Certified Public Accountants and Consultants, conducted a valuation before the sale and the sale price of \$1 million was in excess [emphasis in original] of that valuation.” Complaint, paragraph 26.

Defendants have violated Section 16, and the regulations thereunder, by failing to file an initial Form 3. Complaint, paragraph 56.

Investors wishing to view a copy of the Complaint can request one free of charge from Ted Karkus by calling him at (516) 569-9999, or by writing to him at 188 Hewlett Neck Road, Woodmere, NY 11598. The Complaint and the response of Mr. Karkus, Mr. Burnett, Mr. DeShazo, Mr. Gleckel and Mr. Leventhal (the “Nominee Defendants”) will also be filed as an amendment to the Schedule 13D filed by the Nominee Defendants when the response has been filed with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The Schedule 13D filed April 7, 2009 and any amendments thereto are available at www.sec.gov.

The Shareholder Nominees do not have sufficient information at this time to form an opinion as to the accuracy of any Innerlight SEC filing.

The Shareholder Nominees continue to believe that the value received on the sale of Darius was “highly questionable”.

According to the SEC filings of the Company and Innerlight Holdings, Darius’s net income for the first two months of 2008 (prior to the sale) was \$139,263, and its subsequent net income for the remaining ten months of 2008 of \$1.3 million (net income from continuing operations was \$2.5 million for this period). We think these facts, plus the participation and ownership of Gary Quigley in Darius, support our view that the value obtained on the sale is “highly questionable”.

The Shareholder Nominees have requested that as shareholders they be permitted to review the Company’s relevant documents concerning the sale of Darius, but in a letter dated April 13, 2009 the Company’s attorneys denied that request. Accordingly, we have not been permitted to review the purported valuation performed by Marcum & Kliegman LLP.

Mr. Karkus, Mr. Burnett, Mr. DeShazo, Mr. Gleckel and Mr. Leventhal have subsequently filed a Form 3 pursuant to Section 16 of the Exchange Act.

PRELIMINARY COPY, SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED APRIL 27, 2009

THE QUIGLEY CORPORATION

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

MAY 20, 2009

BLUE PROXY CARD

BLUE PROXY CARD

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED BY

TED KARKUS, MARK BURNETT, JOHN DESHAZO, MARK FRANK, LOUIS GLECKEL, MD, MARK LEVENTHAL AND JAMES MCCUBBIN

THIS PROXY IS NOT BEING SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE QUIGLEY CORPORATION

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, date and sign this proxy card, and return it in the postage paid envelope.

The undersigned hereby appoints and constitutes each of Ted Karkus, Mark Burnett, John Deshazo, Mark Frank, Louis Gleckel, MD, Mark Leventhal and James McCubbin (acting alone or together), with full power of substitution in each, to represent the undersigned at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of The Quigley Corporation, a Nevada Corporation ("the Company") to be held on May 20, 2009 at 4:00 P.M. local time, at Doylestown Country Club, Green Street, P.O. Box 417, Doylestown, PA 18901, and at any adjournments or postponements or continuations thereof (the "Annual Meeting"), hereby revoking any proxies previously given, to vote all shares of Common Stock of the Company held or owned by the undersigned as directed below, and in their discretion upon such other matters as may come before the meeting (provided, however, that the persons named above will be permitted to use such discretionary authority only for matters which they do not know, a reasonable time before the solicitation, are to be presented at the meeting).

IF NO DIRECTION IS MADE, THE PERSONS NAMED IN THIS BLUE PROXY CARD WILL VOTE YOUR SHARES **FOR** TED KARKUS, MARK BURNETT, JOHN DESHAZO, MARK FRANK, LOUIS GLECKEL, MD, MARK LEVENTHAL AND JAMES MCCUBBIN. IN THEIR DISCRETION THE PROXIES ARE AUTHORIZED TO VOTE UPON SUCH OTHER BUSINESS AS MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE MEETING OR ANY ADJOURNMENT, POSTPONEMENT OR RESCHEDULING THEREOF.

This Proxy, when properly executed, will be voted **FOR** the election of Ted Karkus, Mark Burnett, John DeShazo, Mark Frank, Louis Gleckel, MD, Mark Leventhal and James McCubbin, or, if any of them is unable to serve, or for good cause will not serve, the proxies named herein are authorized to vote, in their discretion, for substitute nominees in the manner marked herein by the undersigned and **FOR** the ratification of Amper, Politziner & Mattia, P.C. as the Company's independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2009.

SIGN, DATE AND MAIL YOUR PROXY TODAY
(CONTINUED AND TO BE SIGNED ON THE REVERSE SIDE.)

THE SHAREHOLDER NOMINEES, EACH RECOMMEND A VOTE ***FOR*** THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES LISTED IN PROPOSAL 1.

PLEASE MARK VOTES AS IN THIS EXAMPLE.
SHAREHOLDERS ARE URGED TO DATE, MARK, SIGN, AND RETURN THIS PROXY.
YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT TO US.

1. Election of directors — Nominees:

- (01) Ted Karkus
- (02) Mark Burnett
- (03) John DeShazo
- (04) Mark Frank
- (05) Louis Gleckel, MD
- (06) Mark Leventhal
- (07) James McCubbin

| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| FOR ALL NOMINEES | WITHHOLD FROM ALL NOMINEES |
| <input type="checkbox"/> For | <input type="checkbox"/> Withhold |
| <input type="checkbox"/> For | <input type="checkbox"/> Withhold |
| <input type="checkbox"/> For | <input type="checkbox"/> Withhold |
| <input type="checkbox"/> For | <input type="checkbox"/> Withhold |
| <input type="checkbox"/> For | <input type="checkbox"/> Withhold |
| <input type="checkbox"/> For | <input type="checkbox"/> Withhold |
| <input type="checkbox"/> For | <input type="checkbox"/> Withhold |

To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee, write that nominee's name in the space provided above. Your shares will be voted for the remaining nominee(s).

2. Ratification of Appointment of Independent Public Accounting Firm

For

Against

Abstain

MARK HERE IF YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING

MARK HERE IF AN ADDRESS CHANGE HAS BEEN
NOTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS CARD

Please be sure to sign and date this Proxy.

SIGNATURE(S) OF SHAREHOLDER(S)

DATE

TITLE, IF ANY

SIGNATURE (IF HELD JOINTLY):

When shares are held by joint tenants, both should sign. When signing as attorney-in-fact, executor, administrator, trustee, guardian, corporate officer or partner, please give full title as such. If a corporation, please sign in corporate name by President or other authorized officer. If a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.

Peggy Kim Special Counsel
Office of Mergers & Acquisitions
Division of Corporate Finance
Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549-3628

**Re: Quigley Corporation
Revised Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed by Ted Karkus et al.
Filed April 21, 2009
File No. 0-21617**

Dear Ms. Kim:

On behalf of Ted Karkus et al, we hereby transmit via EDGAR for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission Amendment Number 2 to the above referenced Schedule 14A. The Schedule 14A has been revised in response to your comment letter dated April 24, 2009 and to reflect other changes. Concurrent with the transmission, we are providing to the Staff clean and blacklined copies of Amendment No. 2 to the Schedule 14A. We also are providing from Ted Karkus et al. the following responses to the comment letter regarding the Schedule 14A.

To assist your review, we have retyped the text of the Staff's comments in bold face type. Please note that all references to page numbers in the responses refer to the page numbers of Amendment No. 2 to the Schedule 14A.

Schedule 14A

- 1. We note your response to comment one in our letter dated April 16, 2009. Please advise us as to how your notice of internet availability complies with the requirements in Rule 14a-16. For example, it does not appear to contain all of the information required by Rule 14a-16(c)(1) and contains supporting statements when Rule 14a-16(c)(3) specifically states that no supporting statements be included. Please refer to Rule 14a-16 and SEC Release No. 34-56135 in your analysis.**

We have broken out the information required by Rule 14a-16 into a separate notice preceding the Proxy Statement. In compliance with Rule 14a-16(d)(3), this separate notice does not contain any supporting statements. Pursuant to Rule 14a-16(n)(4), we have excluded certain information otherwise required by Rule 14a-16(d), including (i) paragraphs 1 and 3 of the legend required by paragraph (d)(1), (ii) instructions on how to request a copy of the proxy materials, and (iii) instructions on how to access the form of proxy pursuant to paragraph (d)(7).

Reasons for the Solicitation, page 2

- 2. We note your response to comment six in our letter dated April 16, 2009. Please revise your disclosure to include the support for your statements.**

We have revised our disclosure on pages 2 and 3 to include support for our statements.

3. **We note that the participants collectively own over 10% of the shares outstanding. Please revise to include the information required by Item 405 of Regulation S-K and corresponding Item 7(b) of Schedule 14A.**

We have revised our disclosure on page 15 to add this disclosure.

Proposal One-Election of Directors, page 4

4. **We note your statement that one or more Shareholder Nominees qualify as an audit committee financial expert. Please revise to identify these individuals.**

We have revised our disclosure on page 5 to identify one of the Shareholder Nominees as an audit committee financial expert.

What is a quorum, page 11

5. **We note your response to comment 12 in our letter dated April 16, 2009. Please revise to clearly state the effect of broker non-votes and abstentions on the quorum requirement.**

We have revised our disclosure on page 12 to state the effect of broker non-votes and abstentions on the quorum requirement.

* * *

If you need additional information, have further comments or have any questions, please contact me at (212) 549-0393, fax (212) 521-5450, e-mail: aizower@reedsmith.com. Thank you for your help.

Sincerely,

/s/ Aron Izower
